Monge–Ampère Geometry and the Navier–Stokes Equations

Lewis Napper (University of Surrey, UK)

Work with Ian Roulstone, Martin Wolf (University of Surrey, UK) and Volodya Rubtsov (University of Angers, France)

23rd November 2023

arXiv:2302.11604

The Importance of Vortices

- Turbulent flows consist of complex interactions of vortex structures.
- In 2D, they combine as they evolve, forming stable coherent structures characterised by circulation/elliptic motion.
- In 3D, one finds knotted/linked tubes which accumulate at small scale. “sinews of turbulence.” [Moffatt et al. 1994]



Vorticity of evolving 2d turbulence at early time (Andrey Ovsyannikov - Ecole Centrale de Lyon)

The Navier–Stokes Equations, Vorticity, and Strain

- Homogeneous, Incompressible Navier–Stokes on $\mathbb{R}^m$ background:

$$\partial_t v = -(v \cdot \nabla) v - \nabla p + \nu \Delta v \; (-c) \, . \qquad (*)$$

- Continuity equation is then $\nabla \cdot v = 0$ and applying ∇ to $(*)$ yields:

$$\Delta p \; (+\nabla \cdot c) = \zeta_{ij} \zeta^{ij} - S_{ij} S^{ij} \, .$$

where $\zeta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_j v_i - \nabla_i v_j)$ and $S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_j v_i + \nabla_i v_j)$.

- Vorticity term dominates $\Leftrightarrow$ $\Delta p > 0$.
  Strain term dominates $\Leftrightarrow$ $\Delta p < 0$.

The Weiss–Okubo Criterion for 2D Flows

- In 2D, solving $\nabla \cdot v = 0$ yields a stream function ψ with $v_1 = -\psi_y \text{ and } v_2 = \psi_x$.

- Pressure equation is then a Monge–Ampère equation for ψ:

$$\Delta p = 2\left(\psi_{xx}\psi_{yy} - \psi_{xy}^2\right) .$$

- *Vorticity dominates* $\Leftrightarrow \; \Delta p > 0 \; \Leftrightarrow$ *Elliptic equation.*
  Strain dominates $\Leftrightarrow \; \Delta p < 0 \; \Leftrightarrow$ *Hyperbolic equation.*
  No dominance $\Leftrightarrow \; \Delta p = 0 \; \Leftrightarrow$ *Parabolic equation.*
  [Weiss 1991, Larchevêque 1993]

Monge–Ampère Structures and Solutions

- A Monge–Ampère Structure is a triple $(T^*\mathbb{R}^m, \omega, \alpha)$ with
  - $\omega \in \Omega^2(T^*\mathbb{R}^m)$ symplectic, e.g. $\omega = \mathrm{d}q_i \wedge \mathrm{d}x^i$,
  - $\alpha \in \Omega^m(T^*\mathbb{R}^m)$ is ω-effective, i.e. $\alpha \wedge \omega = 0$,

  We call α the Monge–Ampère Form. [Banos 2002]

- A Generalised Solution to a MA equation, w.r.t. a MA structure, is a submanifold $L \hookrightarrow T^*\mathbb{R}^m$ s.t.
  - L is Lagrangian, i.e. $\dim(L) = m$ and $\omega|_L = 0$.
  - α vanishes on L, i.e. $\alpha|_L = 0$.

  [Kushner et al. 2007]

2D Monge–Ampère Equations and Classical Solutions

$$\alpha = A\,\mathrm{d}q_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2 + B\left(\mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}q_1 + \mathrm{d}q_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2\right) + C\,\mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}q_2 + D\,\mathrm{d}q_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}q_2 + E\,\mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2$$

Consider $L = \mathrm{d}\psi$ with coordinates $(x^i, \partial_i\psi)$ for some $\psi \in \mathscr{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

While $\mathrm{d}\psi$ is trivially Lagrangian, $\alpha|_{\mathrm{d}\psi} = 0$ is equivalent to ($x^1 = x$, $x^2 = y$, and $q_i = \partial_i\psi$)

$$A\psi_{xx} + 2B\psi_{xy} + C\psi_{yy} + D\left(\psi_{xx}\psi_{yy} - \psi_{xy}^2\right) + E = 0$$

This correspondence is a bijection – unique MA form in ω-effective class.



The Lychagin–Rubtsov Theorem and the Pfaffian

- The Pfaffian is defined by $\alpha \wedge \alpha =: f \omega \wedge \omega$ and in 2D, is given by $f = AC - B^2 - DE$.

- Hence, the MA equation $\alpha|_{\mathrm{d}\psi} = 0$ is

$$\textit{elliptic} \Leftrightarrow f > 0.$$
$$\textit{hyperbolic} \Leftrightarrow f < 0.$$
$$\textit{parabolic} \Leftrightarrow f = 0.$$

- [Lychagin et al. 1993] say the following are equivalent:
  - $\mathrm{d}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|f|}}\alpha) = 0$.
  - $\alpha|_{\mathrm{d}\psi} = 0$ is locally (symp.) equivalent to $\Delta\psi = 0$ or $\Box\psi = 0$.
  - J given by $\alpha(\cdot\,,\cdot) =: \sqrt{|f|}\omega(J\cdot\,,\cdot)$ is integrable.

Monge–Ampère Geometry for the Poisson Equation

- The pressure equation $\Delta p = 2(\psi_{xx}\psi_{yy} - \psi_{xy}^2)$ is recovered from

$$\alpha = \mathrm{d}q_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}q_2 - \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta p\, \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2\,,$$

- Pfaffian is $f = \frac{1}{2}\Delta p$, hence:

  elliptic $\Leftrightarrow \Delta p > 0 \Leftrightarrow$ *vorticity dominating.*
  hyperbolic $\Leftrightarrow \Delta p < 0 \Leftrightarrow$ *strain dominating.*
  parabolic $\Leftrightarrow \Delta p = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ *non-dominating.*

- The Lychagin–Rubtsov theorem says $\Delta p = 2(\psi_{xx}\psi_{yy} - \psi_{xy}^2)$ is locally equivalent to $\Delta\psi = 0$ or $\Box\psi = 0$ iff Δp is constant.

The Lychagin–Rubtsov Metric

- For choice of $K \in \Omega^2(T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$, we define the Lychagin–Rubtsov metric $\hat{g}(\cdot\,,\cdot) := -K(J\,\cdot\,,\cdot)$ [Roulstone et al. 2001]:

$$\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} f\,I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- The pull-back of this metric to classical solution $L = \mathrm{d}\psi$ is

$$\hat{g}\big|_{\mathrm{d}\psi} = \zeta \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{xx} & \psi_{xy} \\ \psi_{xy} & \psi_{yy} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\zeta = \Delta\psi$.

Summary Table

Δp	> 0	< 0	$= 0$
Dominance	Vorticity	Strain	None
$\alpha\vert_{\mathrm{d}\psi} = 0$	Elliptic	Hyperbolic	Parabolic
f	> 0	< 0	$= 0$
J^2	-1	1	Singular
$\hat{g}$	Riemannian $(4, 0)$	Kleinian $(2, 2)$	Degenerate**
$\hat{g}\vert_{\mathrm{d}\psi}$	Riemannian $(2, 0)$	Kleinian $(1, 1)$*	Degenerate**

*Except when $\zeta = 0$, in which case it is degenerate.

**Degeneracies when $\Delta p = 0$ correspond to singularities of scalar curvature – they persist under coordinate changes.

Topology of 2D Vortices

- For simply connected regions Σ of 2D flows on which $\Delta p > 0$ and with boundary given by a closed stream-line, all streamlines within Σ are also closed (and convex). [Larchevêque 1993]
- Σ is topologically a disc $[\chi(\Sigma) = \chi(\mathsf{d}\psi(\Sigma)) = 1]$ and Gauß–Bonnet theorem in $\mathsf{d}\psi(M)$ is:

$$\int_{\mathsf{d}\psi(\partial\Sigma)} \mathsf{d}s \; \kappa(x(s)) = 2\pi - \int_{\mathsf{d}\psi(\Sigma)} \mathrm{vol}_{\mathsf{d}\psi(\Sigma)} R$$

- The mean curvature of the boundary of a 'vortex' is described by gradients of vorticity and strain.

A Jacobi System Formulation?

- Rather than working with the stream function, use velocity directly. Consider L with coordinates $(x^i, v_i(x))$.

- $\omega|_L = 0$ no longer trivial and implies vorticity vanishes. We need a different symplectic form:

$$\varpi = \mathrm{d}q_i \wedge \star(\mathrm{d}x^i)$$

  such that $\varpi|_L = 0$ gives $\nabla \cdot v = 0$.

- Our MA form can be written

$$\alpha = \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}q_i \wedge \mathrm{d}q_j \wedge \star(\mathrm{d}x^i \wedge \mathrm{d}x^j) - \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta p\, \mathrm{vol}_m$$

  and $\alpha|_L = 0$ yields $\Delta p = \zeta_{ij}\zeta^{ij} - S_{ij}S^{ij}$ in any dimension.

Towards Multi-symplectic Monge–Ampère Systems

- A <u>k-Plectic Form</u> is a closed and non-degenerate $\varpi \in \Omega^{k+1}(T^*\mathbb{R}^m)$. [Cantrijn et al. 2009]
- A <u>(Higher) Monge–Ampère Structure</u> will be a triple $(T^*\mathbb{R}^m, \varpi, \alpha)$ where ϖ is $(m-1)$-plectic (no effectiveness condition yet).
- <u>Generalised Solutions</u> are now submanifolds $L \hookrightarrow T^*\mathbb{R}^m$ satisfying $\varpi|_L = 0$ and $\alpha|_L = 0$ (not necessarily Lagrangian).
- We focus on L with coordinates $(x^i, v_i(x))$, diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^m$, in lieu of classical solutions.

The Lychagin–Rubtsov Metric in Higher Dimensions

- Can again define a metric $\hat{g}(\cdot\,,\cdot) = -K(J\cdot\,,\cdot)$ on $T^*\mathbb{R}^m$ of the form

$$\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} fI_m & 0 \\ 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix} .$$

- For $A_{ij} = \nabla_j v_i$, the pullback metric is

$$(\hat{g}|_L)_{ij} = A^k{}_i A_{kj} - \tfrac{1}{2}\delta_{ij} A_{kl} A^{lk} .$$

- In general, signature change of $\hat{g}|_L$ does not coincide with sign change in f — more complicated relationship.

Topology of 3D Vortices

- No Gauss–Bonnet Theorem in odd dimensions – how to extract topological information?
- Let $\theta = q_i \mathrm{d}x^i$ be the tautological form. Then the helicity density is

$$(\theta \wedge \omega)|_L = v_i \zeta^i \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^3$$

- Under ideal conditions, helicity is an invariant quantity and vorticity is conserved.
- Helicity can be related to topological quantities from knot theory i.e. the Gauss linking number, Călugăreanu invariant, and Jones Polynomial [Liu and Ricca 2012, Ricca and Moffatt 1992].

Extension to Riemannian Manifold

- On a Riemannian manifold (M, g), instead start from:

$$\Delta p + R_{ij} v^i v^j \; (+\nabla_i c^i) = \zeta_{ij}\zeta^{ij} - S_{ij}S^{ij} \, .$$

- Schematically take

$$\mathrm{d}q_i \to \mathrm{d}q_i - \mathrm{d}x^j {\Gamma_{ij}}^k q_k .$$
$$I \to g .$$
$$f = \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta p \to f = \tfrac{1}{2}(\Delta p + R^{ij} q_i q_j) .$$

- Geometric justification for Weiss criterion still applies on e.g. $\mathbb{S}^2$, and dominance depends on (Ricci) curvature.

Summary

- We introduced MA geometry as a tool for studying the Poisson equation for the pressure of an incompressible flow.
- We provided a geometric validation for the Weiss–Okubo criterion and showed how the Lychagin–Rubtsov metric could be used to generalise this to flows in higher dimensions/on curved background.
- We highlighted select results concerning solutions, vortices, and their topologies from the wider framework laid out by [N. et al. 2023].

Outlook – Generalised Solutions

- Generalised solutions may have non-immersive projections (Arnold's Singularities) and contain the multivalued solutions. See [Ichikawa et al. 2007, Vinogradov 1973]



- In semi-geostrophic theory, these produce additional degeneracy of $\hat{g}|_L$ and type change, which represent weather fronts. [D'Onofrio et al. 2023]
- The geometry of classical solutions models flows with elliptic vortices, vortex tubes, and lines. Perhaps singular locus of projections could be used to model vortex sheets.

Outlook – Open Questions

- Can one make precise the notion of 'Higher' Monge–Ampère equations? What do we replace effectiveness and Lagrangian with?
- Is it possible to encode dynamics as well as kinematics? Could the vorticity equation

$$\partial_t \zeta + \nabla(\zeta \cdot v) - \nu \Delta \zeta = 0$$

  be used as a (Ricci-like) flow equation for the solutions L?
- .[Lychagin et al. 1993, Banos. 2003] respectively classify 2D and 3D MA equations using integrability of a (para-)complex structure J (and the metric $\hat{g}$). Can we use generalised complex structures to classify 'higher' Monge–Ampère equations?

Thank you!



Image Credit [Kushner, Lychagin, Rubtsov. 2007]